IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ଊଊଊଊଊଊଊଊଊଊଊଊଊଊ

In re Application of:

Nicolay Y. Kovarsky, et al.

Serial No.: 10/664,277

Confirmation No.: 3455

Filed: September 17, 2003

For: Insoluble Anode with an

Auxiliary Electrode

Group Art Unit: 1742

Examiner:

Nicholas A. Smith

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or electronically transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via EFS-Web to the attention of Examiner Nicholas A. Smith, on the date shown below.

12/20/2006

Nan Z Carr

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On December 7, 2006 and December 8, 2006, telephonic interviews were held between Keith M. Tackett (an attorney of record) and Examiner Nicholas A. Smith. The references of record discussed included United States Patent Application Serial No. 10/268,284 (hereafter Application '284). The nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection on claims 1 and 9 over claim 38 of Application '284 was discussed during the telephone interviews.

On December 7, 2006, Applicants pointed out to the Examiner that claim 38 of Application '284 does not teach or suggest the claimed subject matter of claims 1 and 9. Particularly, claim 38 of Application '284 does not teach or suggest a deplating electrode positioned radially outward from a plating electrode in an anode fluid volume, as set forth in claims 1 and 9. The Examiner offered to consider Applicants' argument and resume the discussion in a later time.

On December 8, 2006, the Examiner cited Bacon et al (United States Patent No. 4,466,864, hereafter *Bacon*) supporting the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1 and 9. *Bacon* was not a reference of record at the time. The Examiner did not indicate how *Bacon* is applied in the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1 and 9.

No agreement was reached on the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

Keith M. Tackett

Registration No. 32,008

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.

3040 Post Oak Blvd. Suite 1500

Houston, TX 77056

Telephone: (713) 623-4844 Facsimile: (713) 623-4846 Attorney for Applicant(s)